

MEASURE THEORY ON GRAPHS

ILWOO CHO

ABSTRACT. The main purpose of this paper is to introduce several measures determined by the given finite directed graph. We will introduce three measures μ_G , μ_{G^*} and μ , for the given graph G . Those three measures are depending on the new algebraic structures, determined by G , so-called the diagram set, the reduced diagram set and the free semigroupoid, respectively. Let $\mathbb{F}^+(G)$ be the free semigroupoid of G consisting of the empty word \emptyset , the vertex set $V(G)$ and the finite path set $FP(G)$, under the admissibility. For each element w in $\mathbb{F}^+(G)$, we have the graphical image δ_w of w on \mathbb{R}^2 . The set $D(G)$ of such elements is called the diagram set and it is a quotient semigroupoid of $\mathbb{F}^+(G)$. On $D(G)$, we can define a G -measure $\mu_G = d \cup \Delta$, where d is a weighted degree measure on $V(G)$ and Δ is the diagram length measure on $D(G) \setminus V(G)$. Then it is a positive bounded measure. For the given graph G , we can determine the shadowed graph $\hat{G} = G \cup G^{-1}$, where G^{-1} is the opposite directed graph (or shadow) of G . Then similar to the previous construction, we can construct the free semigroupoid $\mathbb{F}^+(\hat{G})$ of \hat{G} and the diagram set $D(\hat{G})$ of \hat{G} . Define the reducing relation on $D(\hat{G})$ and construct the reduced diagram set $D_r(\hat{G})$. Then it is a groupoid. On it, define the graph measure $\mu_{\hat{G}} = d \cup \Delta^r$, where d is given as above and Δ^r is the reduced-diagram-length measure on $D_r(\hat{G}) \setminus V(\hat{G})$. Then it is also a bounded positive measure on $D_r(\hat{G})$. Furthermore, the measure μ_G can be regarded as a restricted measure of $\mu_{\hat{G}}$ on $\mathbb{F}^+(G) \subset \mathbb{F}^+(\hat{G})$. In Chapter 3, we observe the graph integral of graph measurable functions with respect to the graph measure $\mu_{\hat{G}}$. In Chapter 4, we introduce the restricted measure of the graph measure, so-called subgraph measures. In Chapter 5, we will extend the graph measure $\mu_{\hat{G}}$ as a measure μ defined on the free semigroupoid $\mathbb{F}^+(\hat{G})$ of \hat{G} . Different from the graph measure $\mu_{\hat{G}}$, this extended measure μ is a locally bounded positive measure. Also, we briefly consider the integration with respect to this measure.

Let G be a finite directed graph, with its vertex set $V(G)$ and its edge set $E(G)$. Throughout this paper, we say that a graph is finite if $|V(G)| < \infty$ and $|E(G)| < \infty$. Let $v \in V(G)$ be a vertex. The vertex v has degree m if it has m -incident edges and denote it by $\deg(v) = m$. If v is isolated without the incident edges, then define $\deg(v) = 0$. On the graph G , we can have a finite path $w = e_1 e_2 \dots e_k$, where e_1, \dots, e_k are admissible directed edges in $E(G)$. Define the length of w by k and denote it by $|w| = k$. The length of a finite path is nothing but the cardinality of the admissible edges constructing the given finite path. Suppose the finite path w has its initial vertex (or the source) v_1 and its terminal vertex (or the range) v_2 . Then we write $w = v_1 w v_2$ to emphasize the initial vertex and the terminal

Key words and phrases. Finite Directed Graphs, Degree Measures, Diagram Measures, Graph Measures, Graph Integration.

I really appreciate all supports from Saint Ambrose University. I also thank Prof. T. Anderson and Prof. V. Vega for the useful conversation.

vertex of w . Sometimes, we will denote $w = v_1 w$ (or $w = w v_2$), for emphasizing the initial vertex of w (resp. the terminal vertex of w). Notice that the finite path w can be expressed as the word in $E(G)$. Define the set $FP(G)$ of all finite paths. Then

$$FP(G) = \cup_{n=1}^{\infty} FP_n(G),$$

where

$$FP_n(G) \stackrel{def}{=} \{w : |w| = n\}.$$

Let w_1 and w_2 be in $V(G) \cup FP(G)$ and assume that the word $w_1 w_2$ is again contained in $V(G) \cup FP(G)$. Then we say that w_1 and w_2 are admissible (or they are connected under the ordering (1, 2), where 1 and 2 are indices of w_1 and w_2). Note that, in general, even though w_1 and w_2 are admissible, w_2 and w_1 are not admissible. However, if w_1 and w_2 are loop finite paths and if w_1 and w_2 are admissible, then w_2 and w_1 are admissible, too. However, $w_1 w_2 \neq w_2 w_1$, in this case, because the directions of them are different and hence they are different finite path. We say that edges e_1, \dots, e_k are admissible if there exists a finite path $w = e_1 \dots e_k$ with $|w| = k$.

Define the free semigroupoid $\mathbb{F}^+(G) = \{\emptyset\} \cup V(G) \cup FP(G)$, with its binary operation (\cdot) , the admissibility, where \emptyset is the empty word in $V(G) \cup FP(G)$. The binary operation is the admissibility (or connectedness) of elements in $V(G) \cup FP(G)$ defined by

$$(w_1, w_2) \mapsto \begin{cases} w_1 w_2 & \text{if } w_1 w_2 \in V(G) \cup FP(G) \\ \emptyset & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

The main purpose of this paper is to introduce the measures on a finite directed graph. Define the diagram map $\delta : \mathbb{F}^+(G) \rightarrow \mathbb{F}^+(G)$ by $w \mapsto \delta_w$, where the diagram δ_w of w is nothing but the graphical image of w in \mathbb{R}^2 , on the given graph G . The image $D(G)$ of δ is a subset and it also has its admissibility, as binary operation, inherited by that of $\mathbb{F}^+(G)$. i.e., $\delta_w \delta_{w'} = \delta_{ww'}$. Thus the diagram set $D(G)$ with admissibility is again an algebraic structure.

In Chapter 1, we will define the measure μ_G on $D(G)$. It is defined by $d \cup \Delta$, where d is the degree measure on $V(G)$ and Δ is the diagram length measure on $D(G) \setminus V(G)$. Simply, d is determined by degrees of vertices and Δ is determined by so-called the diagram length. i.e.,

$$d(S_V) = \sum_{v \in S_V} \frac{\deg(v)}{|V(G)|} \quad \text{and} \quad \Delta(S_{FP}) = \sum_{w \in S_{FP}} L(w),$$

where $\frac{\deg(v)}{|V(G)|} = \frac{\deg_{in}(v) + \deg_{out}(v)}{|V(G)|}$ and $L(w) = W(w) \cdot f(w)$, where $w = \delta_w \in D(G)$ and W is the corresponding weighting map of w and f is the length function on $D_{FP}(G) \stackrel{def}{=} D(G) \setminus V(G)$. Here, the sets S_V and S_{FP} are subsets of $V(G)$ and $D_{FP}(G)$, respectively. So, the bounded positive measure μ_G is defined by

$$\mu_G(S) = d(S') + \Delta(S''), \text{ for all } S \subseteq D(G)$$

where $S' = V(G) \cap S$ and $S'' = FP(G) \cap S$. It is automatically defined that $\mu_G(\emptyset) = 0$.

For the given graph G , we can define the shadow G^{-1} of it, as an opposite directed graph of G . Also, we can have a new finite directed graph \hat{G} with its vertex set $V(\hat{G}) = V(G)$ and its edge set $E(\hat{G}) = E(G) \cup E(G^{-1})$. It is called the shadowed graph of G . By regarding \hat{G} as a finite directed graph, we can construct the free semigroupoid $\mathbb{F}^+(\hat{G})$ of \hat{G} and similar to the previous discussion, we can get the graph measure $\mu_{\hat{G}} = d \cup \Delta$ on $D_r(\hat{G})$, where $D_r(\hat{G})$ is the reduced diagram set. Remark that the free semigroupoid $\mathbb{F}^+(\hat{G})$ of the shadowed graph \hat{G} is much bigger than $\mathbb{F}^+(G) \cup \mathbb{F}^+(G^{-1})$. However, the graph measure μ_G (or $\mu_{G^{-1}}$) is the restricted measure on $D(G)$ (resp. $D(G^{-1})$) of $\mu_{\hat{G}}$ on $D_r(\hat{G})$. Here, the reduced diagram set $D_r(\hat{G})$ is the diagram set $D(\hat{G})$ with the reducing property making all loop finite paths $\delta_{w^{-1}w}$ and $\delta_{ww^{-1}}$ be the corresponding initial-and-terminal vertices of them. In Chapter 2, we will consider this shadowed graph measure $\mu_{\hat{G}}$ of G . This measure is also a bounded positive measure. We can show that if the finite directed graphs G_1 and G_2 are graph-isomorphic, then the measure spaces $(\hat{G}_1, \mu_{\hat{G}_1})$ and $(\hat{G}_2, \mu_{\hat{G}_2})$ are equivalent.

In Chapter 3, we will construct the integrals on the given graph measure space $(\hat{G}, \mu_{\hat{G}})$. In Chapter 4, we observe the restricted measures of $\mu_{\hat{G}}$, induced by the subgraphs of \hat{G} .

Finally, in Chapter 5, we construct a new graph measure $\mu = d \cup \omega$ now on the free semigroupoid $\mathbb{F}^+(\hat{G})$ of the shadowed graph \hat{G} . The construction is very similar to $\mu_{\hat{G}}$. However, this measure μ on $\mathbb{F}^+(\hat{G})$ is just a locally bounded positive measure, in general. Here d is the degree measure on $V(\hat{G})$ defined before. The weighted length measure ω is defined similar to Δ^r as follows;

$$\omega(S) = \sum_{w \in S} W(\delta_w^r) f(\delta_w^r), \text{ for all } S \subseteq FP(\hat{G}).$$

1. THE DIAGRAM GRAPH MEASURES

In this chapter, we will construct the diagram graph measure. Throughout this chapter, let G be a finite directed graph. The free semigroupoid $\mathbb{F}^+(G)$ of G is defined as in Introduction. We will determine the measure μ_G on $D(G)$, where $D(G)$ is the diagram set of G . The measure space $(D(G), P(D(G)), \mu_G)$ is the main object of this chapter, where $P(X)$ means the power set of an arbitrary set X .

Let G be a finite directed graph, with its vertex set $V(G)$ and its edge set $E(G)$. Let $v \in V(G)$ be a vertex. We say that the vertex v has degree m if it has m -incident edges and denote it by $\deg(v) = m$. There are two kinds of incident edges of v , depending on the direction of G . First, there are inner-incident edges e such that $e = e v$. Second, there are outer-incident edges e' such that $e' = v e'$. The inner-degree $\deg_{in}(v)$ of the vertex v is defined by

$$\deg_{in}(v) = |\{e \in E(G) : e = ev\}|$$

and the outer-degree $\deg_{out}(v)$ of v is defined by

$$\deg_{out}(v) = |\{e \in E(G) : e = ve\}|.$$

The degree $\deg(v)$ of v is the sum of $\deg_{in}(v)$ and $\deg_{out}(v)$,

$$\deg(v) = \deg_{in}(v) + \deg_{out}(v).$$

If v is isolated without the incident edges, then $\deg(v) = 0$. Since the given graph G is finite,

$$\deg(v) < \infty, \quad \text{for all } v \in V(G)$$

and

$$\sum_{v \in V(G)} \frac{\deg(v)}{|V(G)|} \leq |V(G)| \cdot |E(G)| < \infty.$$

Definition 1.1. Let G be the given finite directed graph and $\mathbb{F}^+(G)$, the corresponding free semigroupoid of G and let $w \in \mathbb{F}^+(G)$. Define the diagram δ_w of w by the graphical image of w on the graph G . We say that the element w in $\mathbb{F}^+(G)$ is basic if the diagram δ_w of it is w , itself, on G . Define the set $D_k(G)$ of all diagrams with length k by

$$D_k(G) = \{\delta_w : |\delta_w| = k\}, \quad \text{for all } k \in \mathbb{N}.$$

Remark that, by regarding δ_w as a finite path, we can determine the length $|\delta_w|$ of the diagram δ_w . The set $D(G)$ of all diagrams is defined by $D(G) = \{\emptyset\} \cup (\cup_{n=0}^{\infty} D_n(G))$, where

$$D_0(G) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \{\delta_v : v \in V(G)\} = V(G).$$

Denote $D(G) \setminus (V(G) \cup \{\emptyset\})$ by $D_{FP}(G)$. i.e., $D_{FP}(G) = D(G) \cap FP(G)$.

Notice that there exists $N \in \mathbb{N}$ such that

$$D(G) = \cup_{n=0}^N D_n(G),$$

since G is a finite graph.

Let's discuss about the differences between $FP(G)$ and $D_{FP}(G)$. Assume that $l \in E(G)$ is a loop-edge. Then it has its diagram $\delta_l = l$ on G , because the diagram δ_l of l is l , itself on the given graph G . In fact, by definition, $\delta_e = e$, for all $e \in E(G)$. In other words, $E(G) = D_1(G) \subset D(G)$. So, as a finite path, the loop-edge l is basic. However, the diagram δ_{l^2} of the finite path l^2 (with its length 2) is $l = \delta_l$ on G , because the finite path l^2 also has its graphical image l on G . So, in this case, the diagram δ_{l^2} of l^2 is exactly same as the diagram δ_l of the basic finite path l . More generally, all diagrams δ_{l^k} of loop finite paths l^k , $k \in \mathbb{N} \setminus \{1\}$, are same as $l = \delta_l$ of the basic finite path l .

Example 1.1. Let $e = v_1ev_2 \in E(G)$, with $v_1 \neq v_2$ in $V(G)$ and let $l = v_2lv_2 \in FP(G)$ be a loop finite path. Then the finite paths e and l are admissible. i.e., the finite path $el \in FP(G)$. Assume that there exists a basic loop finite path $w = v_2wv_2$ such that $l = w^k$, for some $k \in \mathbb{N}$, in $FP(G)$. Then the diagram δ_{el} of el is same as the diagram δ_{ew} , and the diagram δ_{ew} is identified with ew on G .

Assume that $v \in \mathbb{F}^+(G)$ is a vertex of G . Then the diagram δ_v of v is always v on G . Also, if $e \in \mathbb{F}^+(G)$ is an edge contained in $E(G)$, then the diagram δ_e of e is e on G . Now, we can define the diagram map

$$\delta : \mathbb{F}^+(G) \rightarrow D(G) \text{ by the map sending } w \text{ to } \delta_w,$$

which is a surjection from $\mathbb{F}^+(G)$ onto $D(G)$. If w_1 and w_2 have the same diagram on G , then $\delta_{w_1} = \delta_{w_2}$ on G . We can easily get that;

Lemma 1.1. (1) If $v \in V(G)$, then $\delta_v = v$ on G .

(2) If $e \in E(G)$, then $\delta_e = e$ on G .

(3) An element $w \in \mathbb{F}^+(G)$ is basic if and only if $\delta_w = w$ on G .

(4) If $w \in \mathbb{F}^+(G)$ is not basic, then there exists a unique basic finite path $w_0 \in \mathbb{F}^+(G)$ such that $\delta_w = \delta_{w_0}$ on G .

Proof. It suffices to prove the uniqueness in (4). The existence is guaranteed by (3). i.e., the diagram δ_w of w in $D(G)$ is the basic element having the same diagram with that of w . i.e., $\delta_w = \delta_{\delta_w}$. Suppose that w_1 and w_2 are basic elements such that $\delta_{w_1} = \delta_w = \delta_{w_2}$. By the basicness of w_1 and w_2 , we have $w_1 = \delta_{w_1} = \delta_{w_2} = w_2$. ■

By definition of finite directed trees, $D(G) = \mathbb{F}^+(G)$, whenever G is a tree.

Proposition 1.2. Let G be a finite directed tree. Then $\mathbb{F}^+(G) = D(G)$. □

We will construct the measure μ_G on the free semigroupoid $D(G)$ of the finite directed graph G . First, consider the length function f on $D(G)$. Define this map

$$f : D(G) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$$

by

$$f(w) = k, \text{ for all } w \in D_k(G).$$

Remark that there exists $N \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $D(G) = \cup_{n=0}^N D_n(G)$, for any finite graph G . Moreover, since G is finite $|D_k(G)| < \infty$, for all $k = 0, 1, \dots, N$. So, we can define the following map $F : P(D(G)) \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$,

$$F(S) = \sum_{\delta \in S} f(\delta),$$

for all $S \in P(D(G))$, where $P(D(G))$ is the power set of $D(G)$. For instance, if $S = \{\delta_1, \delta_2\}$ and if $\delta_j \in D_{k_j}(G)$, for $j = 1, 2$, then

$$F(S) = k_1 + k_2 \in \mathbb{N}.$$

This map F is called the diagram-length map.

Lemma 1.3. *Let G be a finite directed graph and let $D(G)$ be the set of all diagrams on G . Then the map F is bounded. i.e., $F(S) < \infty$, for all $S \in P(D(G))$.*

Proof. Let's take $S = D(G)$, the largest subset of $D(G)$. It is sufficient to show that $F(D(G)) < \infty$. Observe

$$F(D(G)) = F\left(\cup_{k=0}^N D_k(G)\right) = \sum_{k=0}^N F(D_k(G))$$

for some $N \in \mathbb{N}$, since $D_k(G)$'s are mutually disjoint

$$= 0 \cdot |V(G)| + \sum_{k=1}^N k |D_k(G)|$$

$$\text{since } F(D_k(G)) = \sum_{\delta \in D_k(G)} f(\delta) = k \cdot |D_k(G)|, \text{ for all } k = 0, 1, \dots, N,$$

$$< \infty,$$

since G is finite and hence $D_k(G)$ has the bounded cardinality, for all $k = 1, \dots, N$. ■

Define the weighted diagram-length function L on $D(G)$.

Definition 1.2. Let G be a finite directed graph and $\mathbb{F}^+(G)$, the corresponding free semigroupoid of G and let $D(G)$ be the set of all diagrams on G . Let $F : P(D_{FP}(G)) \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$ be the diagram-length map defined above. Let $w = e_1 \dots e_k$ be a basic finite path with admissible edges e_1, \dots, e_k , where $k \in \mathbb{N}$. Define the weighting $W_E : E(G) \rightarrow (0, 1)$ by $W_E(e) = r_e$, where $(0, 1)$ is the open interval in \mathbb{R} . More generally, define the weighting map $W : D_{FP}(G) \rightarrow (0, 1)$ by

$$W(w) = \begin{cases} W_E(w) & \text{if } w \in E(G) \\ \prod_{j=1}^k W_E(e_j) & \text{if } w = e_1 \dots e_k \in D_{FP}(G) \end{cases}$$

Define the weighted diagram-length map (in short, WDL-map) $L : P(D_{FP}(G)) \rightarrow (0, 1)$ by

$$L(S) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \sum_{w \in S} W(w)f(w), \text{ for all } S \in P(D_{FP}(G)).$$

The unweighted diagram-length map (in short, UWDL-map) L_u on $P(D_{FP}(G))$ is defined by $L_u(S) = F(S)$, for all S in $P(D_{FP}(G))$.

By definition, we can get that;

Lemma 1.4. Let G be a finite directed graph and the map $L : P(D_{FP}(G)) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be the WDL-map. Then it is bounded. The UWDL-map L_u is also bounded.

Proof. It suffices to show that $L(D_{FP}(G))$ is bounded. By definition, we have that

$$L(D_{FP}(G)) = \sum_{w \in D_{FP}(G)} W(w)f(w) < \infty,$$

since the diagram set $D(G)$ has a finite cardinality and so does $D_{FP}(G)$. Similarly, we can show that

$$L_u(D_{FP}(G)) = F(D_{FP}(G)) = F(\cup_{k=1}^N D_k(G)) < \infty.$$

■

Now, we will define the measure μ_G on $D(G)$.

Definition 1.3. Let G be a finite directed graph and let $D(G)$ be the corresponding diagram set of G . Define the degree measure d on the vertex set $V(G)$ by

$$d(S) = \sum_{v \in S} \frac{\deg(v)}{|V(G)|}, \text{ for all } S \subseteq V(G).$$

Also, define the weighted measure Δ on the finite path set $D(G) \cap FP(G)$ by

$$\Delta(S) = L(S), \text{ for all } S \subseteq D_{FP}(G).$$

The unweighted measure Δ_u on $FP(G)$ by

$$\Delta_u(S) = L_u(S), \text{ for all } S \subseteq D_{FP}(G).$$

Finally, we have the (weighted) graph measure (or G -measure) μ_G on $D(G)$, denoted by $d \cup \Delta$, defined by

$$\mu_G(S) = d(S_V) + \Delta(S_{FP}), \text{ for all } S \subseteq D(G),$$

where $S_V = S \cap V(G)$ and $S_{FP} = S \cap D_{FP}(G)$. Similarly, the unweighted G -measure μ_G^u is defined by $d \cup \Delta_u$.

The G -measure μ_G and μ_G^u are indeed bounded measures on $D(G)$. Instead of using $P(D(G))$, we will use the quotient set $P(\mathbb{F}^+(G)) / \mathcal{R}$.

Theorem 1.5. *The G -measure μ_G is a bounded positive measure on $P(D(G))$. The unweighted G -measure μ_G^u is also a bounded positive measure on $P(D(G))$.*

Proof. To show that $\mu_G = d \cup \Delta$ is a measure on $D(G)$, we will check the followings;

(i) $\mu_G(S) \geq 0$, for all $S \subseteq D(G)$. If S is a subset of $D(G)$, then there always is a separation S_V and S_{FP} of S , where $S_V = V(G) \cap S$ and $S_{FP} = D_{FP}(G) \cap S$, such that $S_V \cup S_{FP} = S$ and $S_V \cap S_{FP} = \emptyset$. So,

$$\mu_G(S) = d(S_V) + \Delta(S_{FP}) = \sum_{v \in S_V} \frac{\deg(v)}{|V(G)|} + \sum_{w \in S_{FP}} W(w)f(w) \geq 0.$$

(ii) For any $S \subseteq D(G)$, $\mu_G(S) < \infty$. We need to show that $\mu_G(D(G)) < \infty$. We have that

$$\mu_G(D(G)) = d(V(G)) + \Delta(D_{FP}(G)).$$

By the previous lemma, $\Delta(D_{FP}(G)) < \infty$. Also,

$$d(V(G)) = \sum_{v \in V(G)} \frac{\deg(v)}{|V(G)|} \leq |V(G)| \cdot |E(G)| < \infty,$$

since G is a finite graph. Therefore, $\mu_G(D(G)) < \infty$.

(iii) Let $(S_n)_{n=1}^\infty$ be a mutually disjoint sequence of subsets of $D(G)$. Then

$$\mu_G(\cup_{n=1}^\infty S_n) = \mu_G((\cup_{n=1}^\infty S_{n,V}) \cup (\cup_{n=1}^\infty S_{n,FP}))$$

where $S_{n,V} = V(G) \cap S_n$ and $S_{n,FP} = D_{FP}(G) \cap S_n$, for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$

$$= d(\cup_{n=1}^{\infty} S_{n,V}) + \Delta(\cup_{n=1}^{\infty} S_{n,FP})$$

by the definition of $\mu_G = d \cup \Delta$

$$= \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} d(S_{n,V}) + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \Delta(S_{n,FP})$$

by the definition of d and Δ and by the disjointness of $\{S_{n,V}\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ and $\{S_{n,FP}\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$

$$= \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \mu_G(S_n).$$

By (i) and (iii), the G -measure $\mu_G = d \cup \Delta$ is a measure on $D(G)$, and by (ii), it is a bounded measure on $D(G)$. ■

Definition 1.4. Let G be a finite directed graph. The triple $(D(G), P(D(G)), \mu_G)$ is called the graph measure space (in short, G -measure space). For convenience, the triple is denoted by (G, μ_G) .

Proposition 1.6. Let (G, μ_G) be a G -measure space. Then the G -measure μ_G is atomic, in the sense that, for all $S \subseteq D(G) \setminus \{\emptyset\}$, $0 < \mu_G(S) < \infty$. □

2. REDUCED DIAGRAM GRAPH MEASURES

Throughout this chapter, let G be a finite directed graph and let $\mathbb{F}^+(G)$ be the corresponding free semigroupoid. In this chapter, we will construct the shadowed graph \hat{G} of the given graph G , as a new finite directed graph. By constructing the free semigroupoid $\mathbb{F}^+(\hat{G})$ of the shadowed graph \hat{G} , we can define the free groupoid $\mathbb{F}^+(\hat{G})$ of the given graph G . Similar to the previous chapter, we can have the \hat{G} -measure space

$$(\hat{G}, \mu_{\hat{G}}) = (D_r(\hat{G}), P(D_r(\hat{G})), \mu_{\hat{G}}).$$

But the graph measure $\mu_{\hat{G}}$ is defined slightly differently. To define this \hat{G} -measure $\mu_{\hat{G}} = d \cup \Delta^{\hat{}}$, (in particular, to define $\Delta^{\hat{}}$), we will use the reduced diagrams $D_r(\hat{G})$, instead of using the diagrams $D(\hat{G})$.

2.1. Shadowed Graphs.

Let G be the given finite directed graph and $\mathbb{F}^+(G)$, the corresponding free semigroupoid.

Definition 2.1. *Let G be the given graph. Then the shadow G^{-1} is defined by a graph with*

$$V(G^{-1}) = V(G) \quad \text{and} \quad E(G^{-1}) = \{e^{-1} : e \in E(G)\},$$

where e^{-1} is the opposite directed edge of e . i.e., the shadow G^{-1} is the opposite directed graph of G .

Let $e = v_1 e v_2$, with $v_1, v_2 \in V(G)$. Then the opposite directed edge e^{-1} of e satisfies that $e^{-1} = v_2 e^{-1} v_1$. Notice that the admissibility on G^{-1} is preserved oppositely by that of G . i.e., the finite path $w^{-1} = e_1^{-1} \dots e_k^{-1}$ is in $FP(G^{-1})$ if and only if $w = e_k \dots e_1$ is in $FP(G)$. More generally, the element $w^{-1} = w_1^{-1} \dots w_k^{-1}$ is in $\mathbb{F}^+(G^{-1})$ if and only if $w = w_k \dots w_1$ is in $\mathbb{F}^+(G)$.

Proposition 2.1. $|\mathbb{F}^+(G^{-1})| = |\mathbb{F}^+(G)|$.

Proof. By definition, the free semigroupoid $\mathbb{F}^+(G^{-1})$ of G^{-1} is $\{\emptyset\} \cup V(G) \cup FP(G^{-1})$. So, it suffices to show that

$$|FP(G^{-1})| = |FP(G)|.$$

There exists a natural map from $FP(G)$ onto $FP(G^{-1})$ defined by $w \mapsto w^{-1}$. Since the admissibility on G^{-1} is preserved oppositely by that of G and since we can regard G as $(G^{-1})^{-1}$, the map $w \mapsto w^{-1}$ is bijective. So, the above equality holds. ■

Since $|\delta(\mathbb{F}^+(G^{-1}))| = |\delta(\mathbb{F}^+(G))|$, where δ is the diagram map, we can get that;

Corollary 2.2. $|D(G^{-1})| = |D(G)|$. □

By the previous two results, we can get that;

Theorem 2.3. *The graph measure spaces (G, μ_G) and $(G^{-1}, \mu_{G^{-1}})$ are equivalent.*
□

Now, let's define the shadowed graph \hat{G} of G .

Definition 2.2. Let G be given as above and let G^{-1} be the shadow of G . Define the shadowed graph \hat{G} by the directed graph with

$$V(\hat{G}) = V(G) = V(G^{-1}) \quad \text{and} \quad E(\hat{G}) = E(G) \cup E(G^{-1}).$$

Notice that $\mathbb{F}^+(\hat{G}) \neq \mathbb{F}^+(G) \cup \mathbb{F}^+(G^{-1})$, since we can also take a mixed finite path $w_1^{i_1} w_2^{i_2} \dots w_m^{i_m}$, where $(i_1, \dots, i_m) \in \{1, -1\}^m$ is a mixed m -tuple of 1 and -1 , in $\mathbb{F}^+(\hat{G})$. Such mixed finite paths are not contained in $\mathbb{F}^+(G) \cup \mathbb{F}^+(G^{-1})$. Therefore, in general,

$$\mathbb{F}^+(\hat{G}) \supsetneq (\mathbb{F}^+(G) \cup \mathbb{F}^+(G^{-1})).$$

2.2. Reduced Diagrams on the Shadowed Graph.

Let G be the given finite directed graph and G^{-1} , the shadow of G , and let \hat{G} be the shadowed graph of G . Like Chapter 1, we can define the diagram $D(\hat{G})$ of \hat{G} , as the image of the diagram map $\delta : \mathbb{F}^+(\hat{G}) \rightarrow D(\hat{G})$ defined by $w \mapsto \delta_w$. On this diagram set $D(\hat{G})$, we will give the reducing process and we will define the reduced diagram set $D_r(\hat{G})$ of \hat{G} . This reduced diagram set $D_r(\hat{G})$ is a groupoid, under the admissibility inherited by that of $D(G)$, with the reducing property.

Definition 2.3. Let G , G^{-1} and \hat{G} be given as above and let $\mathbb{F}^+(\hat{G})$ be the free semigroupoid of \hat{G} . Let $\delta : \mathbb{F}^+(\hat{G}) \rightarrow D(\hat{G})$ be the diagram map defined in Chapter 1, for the finite directed graph \hat{G} . Define the reduced diagram map $\delta^r : \mathbb{F}^+(\hat{G}) \rightarrow D(\hat{G})$ by

$$w \mapsto \delta_w^r = \delta_w, \quad \forall w \in \mathbb{F}^+(G) \cup \mathbb{F}^+(G^{-1})$$

and

$$(2.1) \quad w^{-1}w \mapsto \delta_{w^{-1}w}^r = \delta_v \quad \text{and} \quad ww^{-1} \mapsto \delta_{w^{-1}w}^r = \delta_{v'},$$

for all $w = v'wv \in FP(G)$ and $w^{-1} = vw^{-1}v' \in FP(G^{-1})$, with $v, v' \in V(G)$, and

$$w_1^{i_1} w_2^{i_2} \dots w_n^{i_n} \mapsto \delta_{w_1^{i_1} w_2^{i_2} \dots w_n^{i_n}}^r = \delta_{\delta_{w_1^{i_1} \dots w_n^{i_n}}^r}^r,$$

for the n -tuple $(i_1, \dots, i_n) \in \{1, -1\}^n$, for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$. The image δ_w^r of w in $\mathbb{F}^+(\hat{G})$ is called the reduced diagram of w . Define the set $D_r(G)$ of all reduced diagrams by the image $\delta^r(\mathbb{F}^+(\hat{G}))$ of δ^r . i.e.,

$$D_r(G) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \delta^r(\mathbb{F}^+(\hat{G})) = \delta^r(\delta(\mathbb{F}^+(\hat{G}))) = \delta^r(D(\hat{G})).$$

2.3. Reduced Diagram Graph Measure Spaces.

Let G , G^{-1} and G^\wedge be given as above. Then we can define the reduced diagram graph measure μ_{G^\wedge} by $\mu_{G^\wedge} = d \cup \Delta^\wedge$.

Definition 2.4. Let G^\wedge be the shadowed graph of a finite directed graph G and let Δ^\wedge be the reduced diagram length measure defined by the diagram length measure on $D_r^{FP}(G^\wedge) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} D_r(G^\wedge) \cap FP(G^\wedge)$. Define the reduced diagram graph measure μ_{G^\wedge} by $d \cup \Delta^\wedge$. i.e.,

$$\mu_{G^\wedge}(S) = d(S_V) + \Delta^\wedge(S_{FP}),$$

for all $S \subseteq D_r(G^\wedge)$, where $S_V = S \cap V(G^\wedge)$ and $S_{FP} = S \cap D_r^{FP}(G^\wedge)$. The triple $(D_r(G^\wedge), P(D_r(G^\wedge)), \mu_{G^\wedge})$ is called the reduced diagram graph measure space of the graph G (in short, G^\wedge -measure space of G), denoted by $(G^\wedge, \mu_{G^\wedge})$.

Then, similar to Chapter 1, we can get that;

Theorem 2.4. Let $(G^\wedge, \mu_{G^\wedge})$ be the G^\wedge -measure space of G . Then μ_{G^\wedge} is bounded positive measure on $D_r(G^\wedge)$. In particular, this measure is atomic, in the sense that, all subsets S in $P(D_r(G^\wedge))$, which is not $\{\emptyset\}$, have bounded measures. \square

The key idea to prove the above theorem is to understand the reduced diagram set $D_r(G^\wedge)$ has finite cardinality. We can use the same techniques used in Chapter 1. By definition, the following proposition is easily proved.

Proposition 2.5. Let μ_G and $\mu_{G^{-1}}$ be the G -measure on $D(G)$ and the G^{-1} -measure on $D(G^{-1})$, respectively. Then the G^\wedge -measure μ_{G^\wedge} satisfies that $\mu_{G^\wedge} = \mu_G$ on $D(G)$ and $\mu_{G^\wedge} = \mu_{G^{-1}}$ on $D(G^{-1})$. \square

Let G_1 and G_2 be finite directed graph and G_1^\wedge and G_2^\wedge , the shadowed graphs of G_1 and G_2 , respectively. Assume that G_1 and G_2 are graph-isomorphic. i.e., there exists a graph-isomorphism $\varphi : G_1 \rightarrow G_2$ such that (i) φ is the bijection from $V(G_1)$ onto $V(G_2)$, (ii) φ is the bijection from $E(G_1)$ onto $E(G_2)$, (iii) for $v, v' \in V(G_1)$ such that $e = v \rightarrow v'$ in $E(G_1)$, $\varphi(e) = \varphi(v) \rightarrow \varphi(v')$. i.e., φ preserves the admissibility. Then the G_1^\wedge -measure (resp. G_1 -measure) and G_2^\wedge -measure (resp. G_2 -measure) are equivalent.

Theorem 2.6. Let G_1 and G_2 be graph-isomorphic finite directed graphs. Then the shadowed graph measure spaces $(G_1^\wedge, \mu_{G_1^\wedge})$ and $(G_2^\wedge, \mu_{G_2^\wedge})$ are an equivalent measure spaces. In particular, the graph measure spaces (G_1, μ_{G_1}) and (G_2, μ_{G_2}) are also equivalent measure spaces. \square

By the definition of the graph-isomorphisms, we can easily prove the above theorem. The main idea is that $D_r(G_1)$ (resp. $D(G_1)$) and $D_r(G_2)$ (resp. $D(G_2)$) are reduced-diagram-isomorphic (resp. diagram-isomorphic). In fact, we can have that

$$\begin{aligned}
& G_1 \text{ and } G_2 \text{ are graph-isomorphic} \\
& \implies G_1^\wedge \text{ and } G_2^\wedge \text{ are graph-isomorphic} \\
& \implies \mathbb{F}^+(G_1^\wedge) \text{ and } \mathbb{F}^+(G_2^\wedge) \text{ are free-semigroupoid-isomorphic} \\
& \implies D(G_1^\wedge) \text{ and } D(G_2^\wedge) \text{ are diagram-isomorphic} \\
& \implies D_r(G_1^\wedge) \text{ and } D_r(G_2^\wedge) \text{ are reduced-diagram-isomorphic} \\
& \implies (G_1^\wedge, \mu_{G_1^\wedge}) \text{ and } (G_2^\wedge, \mu_{G_2^\wedge}) \text{ are equivalent.}
\end{aligned}$$

In [8], we discussed about it more in detail. In fact, under certain condition, the converses also hold true. However, without the condition, we cannot guarantee that the converses hold true.

3. MEASURE THEORY ON GRAPHS

In this chapter, we will consider Measure theory on the given finite directed graph G , with respect to the reduced diagram graph measure space $(G^\wedge, \mu_{G^\wedge})$. Of course, we can consider Measure theory with respect to the graph measure space (G, μ_G) . But Calculus on (G, μ_G) can be regarded as the restricted Calculus on $(G^\wedge, \mu_{G^\wedge})$. So, we will concentrate on observing Measure theory on $(G^\wedge, \mu_{G^\wedge})$.

3.1. G^\wedge -Measurable Functions.

Let G be the given finite directed graph and G^\wedge , the shadowed graph and let $\mathbb{F}^+(G^\wedge)$ be the free semigroupoid of G^\wedge , and $(G^\wedge, \mu_{G^\wedge})$, the G^\wedge -measure space. All simple functions g are defined by

$$(3.1) \quad g = \sum_{n=1}^N a_n 1_{S_n}, \quad \text{for } a_j \in \mathbb{R},$$

where S_1, \dots, S_N are subsets of $D_r(G^\wedge)$ and where

$$1_{S_j}(w) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } w \in S_j \\ 0 & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases}$$

which are called the characteristic functions of S_j , for all $j = 1, \dots, N$. All characteristic functions are G^\wedge -measurable. And all G^\wedge -measurable functions are approximated by simple functions.

Example 3.1. Let $w \in D_r(G^\wedge)$. Then this element w acts as one of the G^\wedge -measurable function from $D_r(G^\wedge)$ to \mathbb{R} . Note that w acts on $D_r(G^\wedge)$, as the left multiplication or the right multiplication. So, we have two different functions g_l^w and g_r^w on $D_r(G^\wedge)$ such that

$$g_l^w = 1_{\delta^r(S_l^w)} \quad \text{and} \quad g_r^w = 1_{\delta^r(S_r^w)},$$

for all $w' \in D_r(G^\wedge)$, where

$$S_l^w = \{w'' \in \mathbb{F}^+(G^\wedge) : ww'' \in \mathbb{F}^+(G^\wedge)\}$$

and

$$S_r^w = \{w''' \in \mathbb{F}^+(G^\wedge) : w'''w \in \mathbb{F}^+(G^\wedge)\}.$$

Therefore, the element w act as a G^\wedge -measurable function g_w on $D_r(G^\wedge)$ defined by

$$g_w = 1_{\delta^r(S_l^w) \cup \delta^r(S_r^w)}.$$

3.2. Integration on Graphs.

In this section, we will define the integrals of the given G^\wedge -measurable functions, with respect to the G^\wedge -measure μ_{G^\wedge} . First, let g be a simple function given in (3.1). Then the integral $I_G(g)$ of g with respect to μ_{G^\wedge} is defined by

$$(3.2) \quad I_G(g) \stackrel{\text{denote}}{=} \int_{G^\wedge} g \, d\mu_{G^\wedge} \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \sum_{n=1}^N a_n \mu_{G^\wedge}(S_n).$$

Since $\mu_{G^\wedge} = d \cup \Delta^\wedge$, the definition (3.2) can be rewritten as

$$\begin{aligned} I_G(g) &= \sum_{n=1}^N a_n \mu_{G^\wedge}(S_n) = \sum_{n=1}^N a_n (d(S_{n,V}) + \Delta^\wedge(S_{n,FP})) \\ &= \sum_{n=1}^N a_n \left(\sum_{v \in S_{n,V}} \frac{\deg(v)}{|V(G)|} + \sum_{\delta_w^r \in S_{n,FP}} W(\delta_w^r) f(\delta_w^r) \right). \end{aligned}$$

Proposition 3.1. Let $g_1 = \sum_{j=1}^n 1_{S_j}$ and $g_2 = \sum_{i=1}^m 1_{T_i}$ be simple functions, where S_j 's and T_i 's are subsets of $D_r(G^\wedge)$. Suppose that S_j 's are mutually disjoint

and also T_i 's are mutually disjoint. If $\cup_{j=1}^n S_j = \cup_{i=1}^m T_i$ in $D_r(\hat{G})$, then $I_G(g_1) = I_G(g_2)$.

Proof. Observe that

$$(3.3) \quad I_G(g_1) = \sum_{j=1}^n \left(\sum_{v \in S_{j,V}} \frac{\deg(v)}{|V(\hat{G})|} + \sum_{w \in S_{j,FP}} W(w)f(w) \right)$$

and

$$(3.4) \quad I_G(g_2) = \sum_{i=1}^m \left(\sum_{v \in T_{i,V}} \frac{\deg(v)}{|V(\hat{G})|} + \sum_{w \in T_{i,FP}} W(w)f(w) \right).$$

By the assumption, $\cup_{j=1}^n S_j$ and $\cup_{i=1}^m T_i$ coincide in $D_r(\hat{G})$. Therefore, we have that

$$\begin{aligned} (3.5) \quad \cup_{j=1}^n S_j &= (\cup_{j=1}^n S_j)_V + (\cup_{j=1}^n S_j)_{FP} \\ &= (\cup_{i=1}^m T_i)_V + (\cup_{i=1}^m T_i)_{FP} \\ &= \cup_{i=1}^m T_i. \end{aligned}$$

Since $\{S_j\}_{j=1}^n$ and $\{T_i\}_{i=1}^m$ are disjoint families of $P(D_r(\hat{G}))$, the relation (3.5) explains

$$\begin{aligned} (3.6) \quad (\cup_{j=1}^n S_j)_V &= \cup_{j=1}^n S_{j,V} \\ &= \cup_{i=1}^m T_{i,V} \\ &= (\cup_{i=1}^m T_i)_V. \end{aligned}$$

Similarly, we have that

$$(3.7) \quad (\cup_{j=1}^n S_j)_{FP} = \cup_{j=1}^n S_{j,FP} = \cup_{i=1}^m T_{i,FP} = (\cup_{i=1}^m T_i)_{FP}.$$

Now, notice that

$$\sum_{j=1}^n \sum_{v \in S_{j,V}} \frac{\deg(v)}{|V(\hat{G})|} = \sum_{v \in \cup_{j=1}^n S_{j,V}} \frac{\deg(v)}{|V(\hat{G})|}$$

and

$$\sum_{i=1}^m \sum_{v \in T_{i,V}} \frac{\deg(v)}{|V(\hat{G})|} = \sum_{v \in \cup_{i=1}^m T_{i,V}} \frac{\deg(v)}{|V(\hat{G})|},$$

by the mutually disjointness on $\{S_{j,V}\}_{j=1}^n$ and $\{T_{i,V}\}_{i=1}^m$. Similarly, by the mutually disjointness of $\{S_{j,FP}\}_{j=1}^n$ and $\{T_{i,FP}\}_{i=1}^m$ and by (3.7),

$$\sum_{j=1}^n \sum_{w \in S_{j,FP}} L(\delta_w^r) = \sum_{w \in \cup_{j=1}^n S_{j,FP}} L(w)$$

and

$$\sum_{i=1}^m \sum_{w \in T_{i,FP}} L(\delta_w^r) = \sum_{w \in \cup_{i=1}^m T_{i,FP}} L(w).$$

Therefore, we can conclude that $I_G(g_1) = I_G(g_2)$, by (3.5). ■

It is easy to check that, if $g_j = \sum_{k=1}^n a_{j,k} 1_{S_{j,k}}$, for $j = 1, 2$, then we can have

$$(3.8) \quad I_G(g_1 + g_2) = I_G(g_1) + I_G(g_2)$$

$$(3.9) \quad I_G(c \cdot g_j) = c \cdot I_G(g_j), \text{ for all } j = 1, 2.$$

Now, let 1_S and 1_T be the characteristic functions, where $S \neq T$ in $P(D_r(G))$. Then we have that $1_S \cdot 1_T = 1_{S \cap T}$.

Proposition 3.2. *Let g_j be given as above, for $j = 1, 2$. Then*

$$I_G(g_1 g_2) = \sum_{k,i=1}^n (a_{1,k} a_{2,i}) \cdot \left(\sum_{v \in S_{(1,k),V} \cap S_{(2,i),V}} \frac{\deg(v)}{|V(G)|} + \sum_{w \in S_{(1,k),FP} \cap S_{(2,i),FP}} L^\wedge(w) \right).$$

Proof. Observe that

$$\begin{aligned} g_1 g_2 &= \left(\sum_{k=1}^n a_{1,k} 1_{S_{1,k}} \right) \left(\sum_{i=1}^n a_{2,i} 1_{S_{2,i}} \right) \\ &= \sum_{k,i=1}^n a_{1,k} a_{2,i} (1_{S_{1,k}} \cdot 1_{S_{2,i}}) = \sum_{k,i=1}^n a_{1,k} a_{2,i} 1_{S_{1,k} \cap S_{2,i}} \end{aligned}$$

So, we have that

$$(3.10) \quad I_G(g_1 g_2) = \sum_{k,i=1}^n a_{1,k} a_{2,i} \mu_{G^\wedge}(S_{1,k} \cap S_{2,i}).$$

Consider

$$\begin{aligned} \mu_{G^\wedge}(S_{1,k} \cap S_{2,i}) &= d((S_{1,k} \cap S_{2,i})_V) + \Delta^\wedge((S_{1,k} \cap S_{2,i})_{FP}) \\ &= d(S_{(1,k),V} \cap S_{(2,i),V}) + \Delta^\wedge(S_{(1,k),FP} \cap S_{(2,i),FP}) \\ &= \sum_{v \in S_{(1,k),V} \cap S_{(2,i),V}} \frac{\deg(v)}{|V(G)|} + \sum_{w \in S_{(1,k),FP} \cap S_{(2,i),FP}} L^\wedge(w). \end{aligned}$$

■

Suppose that g_1 and g_2 are given as above and assume that the families $\{S_{1,k}\}_{k=1}^n$ and $\{S_{2,i}\}_{i=1}^n$ are disjoint family in $D_r(\hat{G})$. i.e.,

$$(\cup_{k=1}^n S_{1,k}) \cap (\cup_{i=1}^n S_{2,i}) = \emptyset.$$

Then $I(g_1 g_2) = 0$.

From now, similar to the classical measure theory, we can define the integration of \hat{G} -measurable functions.

Definition 3.1. Suppose that f is a positive \hat{G} -measurable function.. i.e., $f = f^+$. Then we define the G -integral $I_G(f)$ of f with respect to $\mu_{\hat{G}}$ by

$$I_G(f) \stackrel{\text{denote}}{=} \int_{\hat{G}} f \, d\mu_{\hat{G}} = \sup_{g \leq f, \, g \text{ is simple}} I_G(g).$$

Suppose that f is \hat{G} -measurable. Then, since $f = f^+ - f^-$, we can define the G -integral of f by

$$I_G(f) = I_G(f^+) - I_G(f^-).$$

Therefore, the positive \hat{G} -measurable function $|f|$ has its graph integral,

$$I_G(|f|) = I_G(f^+) + I_G(f^-).$$

If the \hat{G} -measurable function f satisfies $I_G(|f|) < \infty$, then we say that this map f is bounded.

Let's observe more \hat{G} -measurable functions. If g is a \hat{G} -measurable function on $D_r(\hat{G})$, then the support of g is denoted by $D_r(\hat{G} : g)$. First, consider the polynomial $g_1(x) = g_x$ on $D_r(\hat{G})$, where $g_x = 1_{\delta^r(S_l^x) \cup \delta^r(S_r^x)}$. This polynomial g_1 maps all w in $\mathbb{F}^+(\hat{G})$ to g_w . Then, we can have the \hat{G} -measurable function g_1 on $D_r(\hat{G})$ defined by $g_1(w) = 1_{\delta^r(S_l^w) \cup \delta^r(S_r^w)}$, for all $w \in D_r(\hat{G})$.

$$(3.11) \quad I_G(g_1) = \sum_{w \in D_r(\hat{G})} \mu_{\hat{G}}(\delta^r(S_l^w) \cup \delta^r(S_r^w)),$$

by the previous proposition. Notice that the support $D_r(\hat{G} : g_1)$ of g_1 is identified with $D_r(\hat{G})$.

Now, let $g_2(x) = g_{\delta_{x_2}^r}$ on $D_r(\hat{G})$. This polynomial g_2 has its support

$$D_r(\hat{G} : g_2) = \{w \in D_r(\hat{G}) : w \in V(G) \text{ or } w \text{ is a loop finite path}\}.$$

The above support $D_r(G^\wedge : g_2)$ is determined by the fact that $g_2(v) = g_{v^2} = g_v$, for all $v \in V(G)$, and $g_2(l) = g_{\delta_{l_2}^r} = g_l$, for all loop finite paths l in $D_r(G)$. Notice that the loop finite path in $D_r(G^\wedge)$ is the loop which is neither of the form $w^{-1} w$ nor of the form $w w^{-1}$, by the reducing process (2.1). Assume now that $w = v_1 w v_2$ is a non-loop finite path in $D_r(G^\wedge)$ with $v_1 \neq v_2$ in $V(G^\wedge)$. Then $w^2 = (v_1 w v_2)(v_1 w v_2) = \emptyset$, in $D_r(G^\wedge)$. Therefore, we can get that

$$(3.12) \quad I_G(g_2) = \int_{G^\wedge} g_2 d\mu_{G^\wedge} = \sum_{w \in D_r(G^\wedge : g_2)} \mu_{G^\wedge}(\delta^r(S_l^w) \cup \delta^r(S_r^w)).$$

In general, we can get that;

Proposition 3.3. *Let $g_n(x) = g_{x^n}$ be the monomial on $D_r(G^\wedge)$. Then $I_G(g_n) = I_G(g_2)$, for all $n \in \mathbb{N} \setminus \{1\}$, where $I_G(g_2)$ is given in (3.12).*

Proof. It suffices to show that the support $D_r(G^\wedge : g_n)$ of g_n and the support $D_r(G : g_2)$ of g_2 coincide, for all $n \in \mathbb{N} \setminus \{1\}$. It is easy to check that if w is in $\mathbb{F}^+(G^\wedge)$, then w^n exists in $\mathbb{F}^+(G^\wedge)$ if and only if either w is a vertex or w is a loop finite path. So, the support of g_n is

$$D_r(G^\wedge : g_n) = V(G) \cup \text{loop}_r(G),$$

where $\text{loop}_r(G) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \{l \in D_r(G) : l \text{ is a loop finite path}\}$. Therefore, the support $D_r(G^\wedge : g_n)$ is same as $D_r(G^\wedge : g_2)$. Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned} I_G(g_n) &= \sum_{w \in D_r(G^\wedge : g_2)} I_G(g_w) \\ &= \sum_{w \in D_r(G^\wedge : g_2)} \mu_{G^\wedge}(\delta^r(S_l^w) \cup \delta^r(S_r^w)). \end{aligned}$$

■

Theorem 3.4. *Let $g_p = \sum_{n=0}^N a_n g_n$ be a polynomial with $g_0 \equiv 1$, for $a_0, \dots, a_N \in \mathbb{R}$. Then*

$$\begin{aligned} (3.13) \quad I_G(g_p) &= a_0 \mu_{G^\wedge}(D_r(G^\wedge)) + a_1 \left(\sum_{w \in D_r(G^\wedge)} \mu_{G^\wedge}(\delta^r(S_l^w) \cup \delta^r(S_r^w)) \right) \\ &\quad + \sum_{k=2}^N a_k \left(\sum_{w \in V(G) \cup \text{loop}_r(G)} \mu_{G^\wedge}(\delta^r(S_l^w) \cup \delta^r(S_r^w)) \right), \end{aligned}$$

where $\text{loop}_r(G) = \{l \in D_r(G^\wedge) : l \text{ is a loop finite path}\}$.

Proof. Let g_p be the given polynomial on $\mathbb{F}^+(G^\wedge)$. Then the integral $I_G(g_p)$ of g_p is determined by;

$$\begin{aligned} I_G(g_p) &= \sum_{n=0}^N a_n I_G(g_n) \\ &= a_0 I_G(1) + a_1 I_G(g_1) + \sum_{k=2}^N a_k I_G(g_k) \\ &= a_0 \mu_{G^\wedge}(D_r(G^\wedge)) + a_1 \left(\sum_{w \in D_r(G^\wedge)} \mu_{G^\wedge}(\delta^r(S_l^w) \cup \delta^r(S_r^w)) \right) \\ &\quad + \sum_{k=2}^N a_k I_G(g_k) \end{aligned}$$

since the constant function 1 has its support, $D_r(G^\wedge)$, and hence, since $I_G(g_n) = I_G(g_2)$, for all $n \geq 2$, we can get that

$$\begin{aligned} &= a_0 \mu_{G^\wedge}(D_r(G)) + a_1 \left(\sum_{w \in D_r(G^\wedge)} \mu_{G^\wedge}(\delta^r(S_l^w) \cup \delta^r(S_r^w)) \right) \\ &\quad + \sum_{k=2}^N a_k \left(\sum_{w \in V(G) \cup \text{loop}(G^\wedge)} \mu_{G^\wedge}(\delta^r(S_l^w) \cup \delta^r(S_r^w)) \right), \end{aligned}$$

because the support $D_r(G^\wedge : g_2)$ of g_2 is the union of $V(G^\wedge)$ and $\text{loop}_r(G^\wedge)$, where $\text{loop}_r(G^\wedge)$ is the collection of all loop finite paths in $D_r(G^\wedge)$. ■

Corollary 3.5. *Let $w = v_1 w v_2$ be a finite path in $D_r(G^\wedge)$ with $v_1 \neq v_2$ in $V(G)$, and let $g = \sum_{n=0}^N a_n g_w^n$, with $g_w^0 \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} 1$, where $a_0, \dots, a_N \in \mathbb{R}$, and g_w is $1_{\delta^r(S_l^w) \cup \delta^r(S_r^w)}$. Then*

$$I_G(g) = a_0 \mu_{G^\wedge}(D_r(G^\wedge)) + a_1 \mu_{G^\wedge}(\delta^r(S_l^w) \cup \delta^r(S_r^w)).$$

Proof. Since w is a non-loop finite path, $w^k = \emptyset$, for all $k \in \mathbb{N} \setminus \{1\}$. Therefore, $S_l^{w^k} \cup S_r^{w^k} = \emptyset$, for all $k = 2, 3, \dots, N$. This shows that $I_G(g_w^k) = 0$, for all $k = 2, 3, \dots, N$. So, $I_G(g) = a_0 I_G(1) + a_1 I_G(g_w)$. ■

Corollary 3.6. *Let $w = v w v$ be a loop finite path in $D_r(G^\wedge)$, with $v \in V(G^\wedge)$, and let $g = \sum_{n=0}^N a_n g_w^n$, with $g_w^0 = 1$, where $a_0, \dots, a_n \in \mathbb{R}$. Then*

$$I_G(g) = a_0 \mu_{G^\wedge}(D_r(G)) + \sum_{k=1}^N a_k \mu_{G^\wedge}(\delta^r(S_l^w) \cup \delta^r(S_r^w)).$$

□

Now, we will consider the map g_{-1} defined by $g_{-1}(x) = g_{x^{-1}} = g_{\delta_{x^{-1}}^r}$. On $D_r(G^\wedge)$, the map g_{-1} is well-determined, because, for any $w \in \mathbb{F}^+(G^\wedge)$, there always exists w^{-1} . Also, the admissibility of w^{-1} is preserved by that of w . This discussion says that the support $D_r(G^\wedge : g_{\delta_w^r})$ of $g_{\delta_w^r}$ and the support $D_r(G^\wedge : g_{\delta_{w^{-1}}^r})$ of $g_{\delta_{w^{-1}}^r}$ are same. i.e., If w is in $D_r(G^\wedge)$, then

$$(3.14) \quad D_r(G^\wedge : g_w) = D_r(G^\wedge : g_{w^{-1}}), \text{ for all } w \in D_r(G^\wedge).$$

Futhermore, we can get that

$$(3.15) \quad D_r(G^\wedge : g_{-1}) = D_r(G^\wedge) = D_r(G^\wedge : g_1),$$

where $g_1(x) = g_x$, for all $x \in D_r(G^\wedge)$, since g_{-1} has its support $D_r(G^\wedge)$.

Proposition 3.7. *Let g_{-1} be given as above. Then g_{-1} is G^\wedge -measurable and $I_G(g_{-1}) = I_G(g_1)$. \square*

Similarly, we can conclude that;

Proposition 3.8. *Define $g_{-n}(x) = g_{\delta_{x^{-n}}^r}$, for $n \in \mathbb{N}$, for $x \in D_r(G^\wedge)$. Then $I_G(g_{-n}) = I_G(g_n)$.*

Proof. Observe that if $n \geq 2$, then

$$D_r(G^\wedge : g_{-n}) = D_r(G^\wedge : g_{-2}) = V(G^\wedge) \cup \text{loop}_r(G^\wedge) = D_r(G^\wedge : g_2).$$

So, $I_G(g_{-n}) = I_G(g_{-2}) = I_G(g_2)$. By the previous proposition, $I_G(g_{-1}) = I_G(g_1)$. \blacksquare

So, we can consider the trigonometric polynomials on $\mathbb{F}^+(G^\wedge)$.

Theorem 3.9. *Let g_k and g_{-k} be given as above, for all $k \in \mathbb{N}$, and let $g = \sum_{n=-M}^N a_n g_n$ be a trigonometric polynomial, with $g_0 \equiv 1$, where $N, M \in \mathbb{N}$. Then*

$$(3.16) \quad I_G(g) = a_0 \mu_{G^\wedge}(D_r(G)) + (a_1 + a^{-1}) I_G(g_1) \\ + \sum_{n=-M}^{-2} a_n I_G(g_2) + \sum_{k=2}^N a_k I_G(g_2).$$

Proof. Let g be the given trigonometric polynomial on $D_r(G^\wedge)$. Then

$$I_G(g) = I_G\left(\sum_{n=-N}^N a_n g_n\right) = \sum_{n=-N}^N a_n I_G(g_n)$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= \sum_{n=-M}^{-2} a_n I_G(g_n) + a_{-1} I_G(g_{-1}) + a_0 I_G(g_0) + a_1 I_G(g_1) + \sum_{k=2}^N a_k I_G(g_k) \\
&= \sum_{n=-M}^{-2} a_n I_G(g_n) + a_0 \mu_{G^\circ}(D_r(G)) + \sum_{k=1}^N a_k I_G(g_k)
\end{aligned}$$

by the previous proposition

$$= a_0 \mu_{G^\circ}(D_r(G)) + (a_{-1} + a_1) I_G(g_1) + \sum_{n=-M}^{-1} a_n I_G(g_2) + \sum_{k=1}^N a_k I_G(g_2)$$

by the fact that $I_G(g_n) = I_G(g_2)$, for all $n \in \mathbb{N} \setminus \{1\}$. ■

3.3. Examples.

In this section, we will consider certain finite directed graphs. Let G_Λ be a tree with

$$V(G_\Lambda) = \{v_1, v_2, v_3\} \text{ and } E(G_\Lambda) = \{e_1 = v_1 e_1 v_2, e_2 = v_1 e_2 v_3\}.$$

Let G_Δ be a circulant graph with

$$V(G_\Delta) = \{v_1, v_2, v_3\} \text{ and } E(G_\Delta) = \left\{ \begin{array}{l} e_1 = v_1 e_1 v_2, \\ e_2 = v_2 e_2 v_3, \\ e_3 = v_3 e_3 v_1 \end{array} \right\}.$$

Also, assume that the corresponding reduced diagram graph measures are unweighted. i.e.,

$$W_\Lambda = 1 = W_\Delta,$$

where W_Λ and W_Δ are the weighting map on $FP(G_\Lambda)$ and $FP(G_\Delta)$, respectively.

Example 3.2. Consider G_Λ . We have that

$$\begin{aligned}
I_{G_\Lambda}(g_{v_1}) &= \mu_{G_\Lambda^\circ}(\{v_1, e_1, e_2, e_1^{-1}, e_2^{-1}\}) = d(\{v_1\}) + \Delta^\wedge(\{e_1, e_2, e_1^{-1}, e_2^{-1}\}) \\
&= d(v_1) + \Delta^\wedge(e_1) + \Delta^\wedge(e_2) + \Delta^\wedge(e_1^{-1}) + \Delta^\wedge(e_2^{-1}) \\
&= \frac{4}{3} + 4 = \frac{16}{3}.
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
I_{G_\Lambda}(g_{v_2}) &= \mu_{G_\Lambda^\circ}(\{v_2, e_1, e_1^{-1}\}) = d(\{v_2\}) + \Delta^\wedge(\{e_1, e_1^{-1}\}) \\
&= \frac{2}{3} + 2 = \frac{8}{3}.
\end{aligned}$$

Similarly, $I_{G_\Lambda}(g_{v_3}) = \frac{8}{3}$.

$$\begin{aligned}
I_{G_\Lambda}(g_{e_1}) &= \mu_{G_\Lambda}(\{v_1, v_2, e_2^{-1}\}) = d(\{v_1, v_2\}) + \Delta^-(e_2^{-1}) \\
&= d(v_1) + d(v_2) + 1 = \frac{\deg(v_1)}{|V(G)|} + \frac{\deg(v_2)}{|V(G)|} + 1 \\
&= \frac{4}{3} + \frac{2}{3} + 1 = 3 = I_{G_\Lambda}(g_{e_1^{-1}}).
\end{aligned}$$

Similarly, $I_{G_\Lambda}(g_{e_2}) = 3 = I_{G_\Lambda}(g_{e_2^{-1}})$. We have that

$$D_r(G_\Lambda) = \{v_1, v_2, v_3\} \cup \{e_1, e_2, e_1^{-1}, e_2^{-1}\}.$$

Thus

$$\begin{aligned}
I_{G_\Lambda}(g_1) &= \sum_{w \in D_r(G_\Lambda)} I_{G_\Lambda}(g_w) \\
&= \sum_{j=1}^3 I_{G_\Lambda}(g_{v_j}) + \sum_{k=1}^2 I_{G_\Lambda}(g_{e_k}) + \sum_{i=1}^2 I_{G_\Lambda}(g_{e_i^{-1}}) \\
&= \left(\frac{16}{3} + \frac{8}{3} + \frac{8}{3}\right) + (2+2) + (2+2) = \frac{56}{3}.
\end{aligned}$$

We also have that

$$I_{G_\Lambda}(g_n) = \sum_{j=1}^3 I_{G_\Lambda}(g_{v_j}) = \frac{16}{3} + \frac{8}{3} + \frac{8}{3} = \frac{32}{3},$$

for all $n \in \mathbb{N} \setminus \{1\}$, because $w^k = \emptyset$, for all $w \in D_r^{FP}(G_\Lambda)$ and $k \in \mathbb{N} \setminus \{1\}$, and $D_r(G_\Lambda : g_k) = V(G_\Lambda) \cup \text{loop}_r(G_\Lambda) = V(G_\Lambda)$. Therefore,

$$I_{G_\Lambda}(g_p) = \frac{56}{3} + \frac{32(N-1)}{3}.$$

where $g_p = \sum_{n=1}^N g_n$, with $g_0 = 0$, for all $N \in \mathbb{N}$.

Example 3.3. Consider the graph G_Δ . Then we have;

$$\begin{aligned}
I_{G_\Delta}(g_{v_1}) &= \mu_{G_\Delta}(\{v_1, e_1^{\pm 1}, e_3^{\pm 1}\}) = d(\{v_1\}) + \Delta^-(\{e_1^{\pm 1}, e_3^{\pm 1}\}) \\
&= \frac{\deg(v)}{|V(G)|} + 2L(e_1) + 2L(e_3) = \frac{4}{3} + 2 + 2 \\
&= \frac{16}{3}.
\end{aligned}$$

Similarly, $I_{G_\Delta}(g_{v_2}) = \frac{16}{3} = I_{G_\Delta}(g_{v_3})$. By the existence of the circulant parts, we can have that

$$I_{G_\Delta}(g_{e_j}) = \frac{17}{3} = I_{G_\Delta}(g_{e_j^{-1}}), \text{ for all } j = 1, 2, 3.$$

Let $j = 1$. Then $I_{G_\Delta}(g_{e_1}) = \mu_{G_\Delta}(\delta^r(S_l^w) \cup \delta^r(S_r^w))$, where

$$S_l^w = \{v_2, e_2\} \text{ and } S_r^w = \{v_1, e_1^{-1}, e_3\},$$

so,

$$S_l^w \cup S_r^w = \{v_1, v_2, e_1^{-1}, e_2, e_3\}.$$

Thus

$$\begin{aligned}
 I_{G_\Delta}(g_{e_1}) &= \mu_{G_\Delta}(\{v_1, v_2, e_1^{-1}, e_2, e_3\}) \\
 &= d(\{v_1, v_2\}) + \Delta(\{e_1^{-1}, e_2, e_3\}) \\
 &= \frac{\deg(v_1)}{|V(G)|} + \frac{\deg(v_2)}{|V(G)|} + L(e_1^{-1}) + L(e_2) + L(e_3) \\
 &= \frac{4}{3} + \frac{4}{3} + 1 + 1 + 1 = \frac{17}{3}.
 \end{aligned}$$

Now, consider the element $l = e_1 e_2 e_3$ in $D_r(G^\wedge)$. Then

$$\delta^r(S_l^l) = \{v_1, l\} \quad \text{and} \quad \delta^r(S_r^l) = \{v_1, l\},$$

so,

$$\delta^r(S_l^l) \cup \delta^r(S_r^l) = \{v_1, l\}.$$

Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned}
 I_{G_\Delta}(g_l) &= \mu_{G^\wedge}(\{v_1, l\}) = \frac{\deg(v_1)}{|V(G)|} + L(l) \\
 &= \frac{4}{3} + 3 = \frac{13}{3}.
 \end{aligned}$$

4. SUBGRAPH MEASURE THEORY

In this chapter, we will consider a new measure on the finite directed graph. Throughout this chapter, let G be a finite directed graph and G^\wedge , the corresponding shadowed graph and let $\mathbb{F}^+(G^\wedge)$ be the free semigroupoid of G^\wedge . Suppose H is a full subgraph of G . The shadowed graph H^\wedge of H is also a subgraph of the shadowed graph G^\wedge of G . So, canonically, we can construct the H -measures μ_H , as in Chapter 1, and the H^\wedge -measure μ_{H^\wedge} , as in Chapter 2. We restrict our interests to the H^\wedge -measure μ_{H^\wedge} .

Definition 4.1. Let $(G^\wedge, \mu_{G^\wedge})$ be the reduced diagram graph measure space of G and let H be a full subgraph of G . Define the H^\wedge -measure μ_{H^\wedge} by $d_H \cup \Delta_{H^\wedge}$, where

$$(4.1) \quad d_H(S) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \sum_{v \in V(H) \cap S_V} \frac{\deg(v)}{|V(H)|},$$

for all $S_V \subseteq V(G^\wedge)$, and

$$(4.2) \quad \Delta_{H^\wedge}(S_{FP}) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \sum_{w \in FP(H) \cap S_{FP}} L(w) = \sum_{w \in FP(H) \cap S_{FP}} W(\delta_w^r) f(\delta_w^r),$$

for all $S_{FP} \subseteq D_r^{FP}(G)$.

By definition, the subgraph measure μ_{H^\wedge} is the restricted measure $\mu_{G^\wedge} \upharpoonright_{D_r(H^\wedge)}$.

Proposition 4.1. *Let $g_w = 1_{\delta^r(S_l^w) \cup \delta^r(S_r^w)}$ be the G^\wedge -measurable function defined in Section 3.2, for each $w \in D_r(G^\wedge)$. Then graph integral $I_{G:H}(g_w)$ of g_w with respect to the subgraph measure μ_{H^\wedge} is determined by*

$$\begin{aligned} I_{G:H}(g_w) &= \mu_{H^\wedge}(\delta^r(S_l^w) \cup \delta^r(S_r^w)) \\ &= \mu_{G^\wedge}(D_r(H^\wedge) \cap (\delta^r(S_l^w) \cup \delta^r(S_r^w))). \end{aligned}$$

□

5. EXTENDED GRAPH MEASURES

In this chapter, based on the graph measure construction in Chapter 2, we will consider the extended graph measuring. Throughout this chapter, let G be a finite directed graph and G^\wedge , the shadowed graph and let $\mathbb{F}^+(G^\wedge)$ and $D_r(G^\wedge)$ be the corresponding free semigroupoid of G^\wedge and the reduced diagram set of G^\wedge , respectively. As usual, let $\delta^r : \mathbb{F}^+(G^\wedge) \rightarrow D_r(G^\wedge)$ be the reduced diagram map which is a surjection. By definition, the power set $P(\mathbb{F}^+(G^\wedge))$ is a σ -algebra of $\mathbb{F}^+(G^\wedge)$. Indeed,

- (i) $\emptyset, \mathbb{F}^+(G^\wedge) \in P(\mathbb{F}^+(G^\wedge))$
- (ii) If $S \subseteq \mathbb{F}^+(G^\wedge)$, then $\mathbb{F}^+(G^\wedge) \setminus S \subseteq \mathbb{F}^+(G^\wedge)$
- (iii) If $(S_k)_{k=1}^\infty \subseteq P(\mathbb{F}^+(G^\wedge))$, then $\cup_{k=1}^\infty S_k \subseteq \mathbb{F}^+(G^\wedge)$.

We want to define a suitable measure on $\mathbb{F}^+(G^\wedge)$.

Definition 5.1. *Define a measure μ on $\mathbb{F}^+(G^\wedge)$ by $d \cup \omega$, where d is the weighted degree measure on $V(G^\wedge)$ defined in Chapter 2. i.e.,*

$$d(S_V) = \sum_{v \in S_V} \frac{\deg(v)}{|V(G^\wedge)|},$$

and ω is the measure on $FP(G^\wedge)$ defined by

$$\omega(S_{FP}) = \sum_{w \in S_{FP}} W(\delta_w^r) f(\delta_w^r),$$

where W and f are the weighting map and the reduced diagram length map defined on $D_r(\hat{G})$, in Chapter 2. The measure μ is called the (extended) graph measure on $\mathbb{F}^+(\hat{G})$.

It is easy to check that μ is a measure on $\mathbb{F}^+(\hat{G})$. Remark the difference between μ_{G° on $D_r(\hat{G})$ and μ on $\mathbb{F}^+(\hat{G})$. A difference between μ and μ_{G° is recognized by the following example. Suppose l is a loop-edge in $E(\hat{G})$ and let $S_1 = \{l\}$ and $S_2 = \{l^2\}$. Then the union $S = S_1 \cup S_2$ is in $\mathbb{F}^+(\hat{G})$, but S is not in $D_r(\hat{G})$. So, the measure $\mu_{G^\circ}(S)$ is undefined. However, it is defined on $\delta^r(S) = \{\delta_l^r, \delta_{l^2}^r\} = \{l\}$. Moreover, we have that

$$\begin{aligned} \mu_{G^\circ}(\delta^r(S)) &= \mu_{G^\circ}(\delta^r(S_1) \cup \delta^r(S_2)) \\ &= \Delta^{\hat{}}(\delta^r(S_1) \cup \delta^r(S_2)) \\ &= \Delta^{\hat{}}(\{l\} \cup \{l\}) = \Delta^{\hat{}}(\{l\}) = W(l) \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \mu(S) &= \mu(S_1 \cup S_2) = \mu(\{l, l^2\}) = \omega(\{l, l^2\}) \\ &= \omega(\{l\}) + \omega(\{l = \delta_{l^2}^r\}) = 2W(l). \end{aligned}$$

This difference shows us that the measure μ is not bounded, in general. However, this measure μ is locally bounded in the sense that $\mu(S) < \infty$, for all finite subsets S in $\mathbb{F}^+(\hat{G})$.

Proposition 5.1. *The extended graph measure μ on $\mathbb{F}^+(\hat{G})$ is a locally bounded positive measure. \square*

Definition 5.2. *The triple $(\mathbb{F}^+(\hat{G}), P(\mathbb{F}^+(\hat{G})), \mu)$ is called the extended graph measure space (in short, μ -measure space). For convenience, we denote it by (\hat{G}, μ) .*

In the following section, we will show that the similar integration theory holds for the extended graph measure like in Chapter 3.

5.1. Integration on Graphs.

In this section, we will define the integrals of the given μ -measurable functions, with respect to the extended graph measure μ . First, let

$$(5.1) \quad g = \sum_{n=1}^N a_n 1_{S_n}, \text{ for all } S_n \subseteq \mathbb{F}^+(\hat{G}),$$

where $a_1, \dots, a_N \in \mathbb{R}$, be a simple function. Then the integral $I_\mu(g)$ of g with respect to μ is defined by

$$(5.2) \quad I_G(g) \stackrel{\text{denote}}{=} \int_{G^\cdot} g \, d\mu \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \sum_{n=1}^N a_n \mu(S_n).$$

Since $\mu = d \cup \omega$, the definition (5.2) can be rewritten as

$$\begin{aligned} I_G(g) &= \sum_{n=1}^N a_n \mu_{G^\cdot}(S_n) = \sum_{n=1}^N a_n (d(S_{n,V}) + \omega(S_{n,FP})) \\ &= \sum_{n=1}^N a_n \left(\sum_{v \in S_{n,V}} \frac{\deg(v)}{|V(G)|} + \sum_{w \in S_{n,FP}} W(\delta_w^r) f(\delta_w^r) \right). \end{aligned}$$

On the set of all simple functions, we can determine the “almost everywhere” relation (in short, “a.e” relation).

Proposition 5.2. *Let $g_1 = \sum_{j=1}^n 1_{S_j}$ and $g_2 = \sum_{i=1}^m 1_{T_i}$ be simple functions, where S_j 's and T_i 's are subsets of $\mathbb{F}^+(G^\cdot)$. Suppose that S_j 's are mutually disjoint and also T_i 's are mutually disjoint. If $\cup_{j=1}^n S_j = \cup_{i=1}^m T_i$ in $\mathbb{F}^+(G^\cdot)$, then $I(g_1) = I(g_2)$.*

Proof. Observe that

$$\begin{aligned} I(g_1) &= \sum_{j=1}^n \left(\sum_{v \in S_{j,V}} \frac{\deg(v)}{|V(G)|} + \sum_{w \in S_{j,FP}} W(\delta_w^r) f(\delta_w^r) \right) \\ \text{and} \\ I(g_2) &= \sum_{i=1}^m \left(\sum_{v \in T_{i,V}} \frac{\deg(v)}{|V(G)|} + \sum_{w \in T_{i,FP}} W(\delta_w^r) f(\delta_w^r) \right). \end{aligned}$$

Since $\{S_j\}_{j=1}^n$ and $\{T_i\}_{i=1}^m$ are disjoint families of $P(\mathbb{F}^+(G^\cdot))$,

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{j=1}^n \sum_{v \in S_{j,V}} \frac{\deg(v)}{|V(G)|} &= \sum_{v \in \cup_{j=1}^n S_{j,V}} \frac{\deg(v)}{|V(G)|} \\ \text{and} \\ \sum_{i=1}^m \sum_{v \in T_{i,V}} \frac{\deg(v)}{|V(G)|} &= \sum_{v \in \cup_{i=1}^m T_{i,V}} \frac{\deg(v)}{|V(G)|}, \end{aligned}$$

by the mutually disjointness on $\{S_{j,V}\}_{j=1}^n$ and $\{T_{i,V}\}_{i=1}^m$. Similarly, by the mutually disjointness of $\{S_{j,FP}\}_{j=1}^n$ and $\{T_{i,FP}\}_{i=1}^m$ and by (3.7),

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{j=1}^n \sum_{w \in S_{j,FP}} \omega(\delta_w^r) &= \sum_{w \in \cup_{j=1}^n S_{j,FP}} \omega(\delta_w^r) \\ \text{and} \\ \sum_{i=1}^m \sum_{w \in T_{i,FP}} \omega(\delta_w^r) &= \sum_{w \in \cup_{i=1}^m T_{i,FP}} \omega(\delta_w^r). \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, we can conclude that $I(g_1) = I(g_2)$, by (3.5). ■

It is easy to check that, if $g_j = \sum_{k=1}^n a_{j,k} 1_{S_{j,k}}$, for $j = 1, 2$, then we can have

$$(5.3) \quad I_G(g_1 + g_2) = I_G(g_1) + I_G(g_2)$$

$$(5.4) \quad I_G(c \cdot g_j) = c \cdot I_G(g_j), \text{ for all } j = 1, 2.$$

Now, let 1_S and 1_T be the characteristic functions, where $S \neq T$ in $P(\mathbb{F}^+(G))$. Then we have that $1_S \cdot 1_T = 1_{S \cap T}$.

Proposition 5.3. *Let g_j be given as above, for $j = 1, 2$. Then*

$$I_G(g_1 g_2) = \sum_{k,i=1}^n (a_{1,k} a_{2,i}) \cdot \left(\sum_{v \in S_{(1,k),V} \cap S_{(2,i),V}} \frac{\deg(v)}{|V(G)|} + \sum_{w \in S_{(1,k),FP} \cap S_{(2,i),FP}} \omega(\delta_w^r) \right).$$

Proof. Observe that

$$\begin{aligned} g_1 g_2 &= \left(\sum_{k=1}^n a_{1,k} 1_{S_{1,k}} \right) \left(\sum_{i=1}^n a_{2,i} 1_{S_{2,i}} \right) \\ &= \sum_{k,i=1}^n a_{1,k} a_{2,i} (1_{S_{1,k}} \cdot 1_{S_{2,i}}) = \sum_{k,i=1}^n a_{1,k} a_{2,i} 1_{S_{1,k} \cap S_{2,i}} \end{aligned}$$

So, we have that

$$(5.5) \quad I(g_1 g_2) = \sum_{k,i=1}^n a_{1,k} a_{2,i} \mu(S_{1,k} \cap S_{2,i}).$$

Consider

$$\begin{aligned} \mu(S_{1,k} \cap S_{2,i}) &= d((S_{1,k} \cap S_{2,i})_V) + \omega((S_{1,k} \cap S_{2,i})_{FP}) \\ &= d(S_{(1,k),V} \cap S_{(2,i),V}) + \omega(S_{(1,k),FP} \cap S_{(2,i),FP}) \\ &= \sum_{v \in S_{(1,k),V} \cap S_{(2,i),V}} \frac{\deg(v)}{|V(G)|} + \sum_{w \in S_{(1,k),FP} \cap S_{(2,i),FP}} \omega(\delta_w^r). \end{aligned}$$

■

Suppose that g_1 and g_2 are given as above and assume that the families $\{S_{1,k}\}_{k=1}^n$ and $\{S_{2,i}\}_{i=1}^n$ are disjoint family. i.e.,

$$(\cup_{k=1}^n S_{1,k}) \cap (\cup_{i=1}^n S_{2,i}) = \emptyset.$$

Then $I(g_1 g_2) = 0$.

Similar to Chapter 3, we can define a μ -measurable function g_w , for all $w \in \mathbb{F}^+(G^\wedge)$, by

$$g_w = 1_{S_l^w \cup S_r^w},$$

where

$$S_l^w = \{w' \in \mathbb{F}^+(G^\wedge) : ww' \in \mathbb{F}^+(G^\wedge)\}$$

and

$$S_r^w = \{w'' \in \mathbb{F}^+(G^\wedge) : w''w \in \mathbb{F}^+(G^\wedge)\}.$$

Proposition 5.4. *Let $w \in \mathbb{F}^+(G^\wedge)$ and assume that g_w is the above μ -measurable map. Then*

$$(5.6) \quad I(g_w) = \mu(S_l^w \cup S_r^w),$$

where S_l^w and S_r^w are given in the previous paragraph. \square

So, different from Chapter 3, if w is a loop finite path in $\mathbb{F}^+(G^\wedge)$, then the integral $I(g_w)$ of w is ∞ , whenever the weight $W(\delta_w^r)$ is large enough in the interval $(0, 1)$, because both S_l^w and S_r^w contains w^k , for all $k \in \mathbb{N}$.

Let g be a μ -measurable function. Then the support $\mathbb{F}^+(G^\wedge : g)$ of g is well-defined. Define the monomial $g_k(w) = g_{w^k}$ on $\mathbb{F}^+(G^\wedge)$, for $k \in \mathbb{N}$. Then

$$\mathbb{F}^+(G^\wedge : g_1) = \mathbb{F}^+(G^\wedge)$$

and

$$\mathbb{F}^+(G^\wedge : g_n) = V(G^\wedge) \cup \text{loop}(G^\wedge),$$

for all $n \in \mathbb{N} \setminus \{1\}$, where

$$\text{loop}(G^\wedge) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \{l \in \mathbb{F}^+(G^\wedge) : l \text{ is a loop finite path}\}.$$

So, by (5.6) and the supports, $I(g_k) = \infty = I(g)$, for $k \in \mathbb{N}$, in general, where $g = \sum_{k=0}^N a_k g_k$ is a polynomial, with $g_0 \equiv 1$.

Define the μ -measurable map $g_{-n}(w) = g_{w^{-n}}$, for all $w \in \mathbb{F}^+(G^\wedge)$ and $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Then similar to Chapter 3, we have that

$$(5.7) \quad \mathbb{F}^+(G^\wedge : g_{-n}) = \mathbb{F}^+(G^\wedge : g_n), \text{ for } n \in \mathbb{N}.$$

$$(5.8) \quad \mathbb{F}^+(G^\wedge : g_{\pm n}) = \mathbb{F}^+(G^\wedge : g_2), \text{ for all } n \in \mathbb{N} \setminus \{1\}.$$

By (5.7) and (5.8), we have the following proposition.

Proposition 5.5. $I(g_{-n}) = I(g_n)$, for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$. In particular, $I(g_{\pm n}) = I(g_2)$, for all $n \in \mathbb{N} \setminus \{1\}$. \square

References

- [1] A. G. Myasnikov and V. Shpilrain (editors), Group Theory, Statistics and Cryptography, Contemporary Math, 360, (2003) AMS.
- [2] D. G. Radcliffe, Rigidity of Graph Products of Groups, Alg & Geom. Topology, Vol 3, (2003) 1079 - 1088.
- [3] D. Voiculescu, Entropy of Random Walks on Groups and the Macaeu Norm, Proc. AMS, Vol 119, 3, (1993), 971 - 977.
- [4] E. Breuillard and T. Gelander, Cheeger Constant and Algebraic Entropy of Linear Groups, (2005) Preprint.
- [5] F. Balacheff, Volum Entropy, Systole and Stable Norm on Graphs, (2004) Preprint.
- [6] G. C. Bell, Growth of the Asymptotic Dimension Function for Groups, (2005) Preprint.
- [7] I. Cho, Random Variables in a Graph W^* -Probability Space, Ph. D. Thesis, (2005) Univ. of Iowa.
- [8] I. Cho, Noncommutative Probability on Graph von Neumann Algebras, (2006) Preprint.
- [9] I. Cho, Compressed Random Variables in a Graph W^* -Probability Space, (2005), Preprint.
- [10] J. Friedman and J-P. Tillich, Calculus on Graphs, (2005) Preprint.
- [11] J. Stallings, Centerless Groups-An Algebraic Formulation of Gottlieb's Theorem, Topology, Vol 4, (1965) 129 - 134.
- [12] R. G. Bartle, The Elements of Integration, (1966) 1-st Edition, John Wiley & Sons.
- [13] R. Gliman, V. Shpilrain and A. G. Myasnikov (editors), Computational and Statistical Group Theory, Contemporary Math, 298, (2001) AMS.

SAINT AMBROSE UNIVERSITY, DEP. OF MATH, DAVENPORT, IOWA, U. S. A.
E-mail address: `chowoo@sau.edu`